CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION

SAN DIEGO AREA 7575 METROPOLITAN DRIVE, SUITE 103 SAN DIEGO, CA 92108-4421 (619) 767-2370

Th 17c



September 26, 2008

TO: Commissioners and

Interested Persons

FROM: Staff

SUBJECT: Request to Waive Time Limit for City of Carlsbad LCP Amendment No.

1-08A/Palomar Airport Rd. Driveway and B/Legoland Inner Park for the

Commission Meeting of October 15 – 17, 2008

On July 18, 2008, the City of Carlsbad Local Coastal Program (LCP) Amendment #1-08 (A & B) was filed in the San Diego District office and involves two components. LCP Amendment 1-08 (A) involves an update to the Carlsbad Ranch Specific Plan (LUP/IP) for the Armstrong Nursery and Flower Fields site to modify its internal circulation and add another point of ingress to the parcel. LCP Amendment 1-08 (B) also involves land use plan revisions to the Carlsbad Ranch Specific Plan and affects the Legoland park property to allow for a minor amendment process by the Planning Director and a revision to the site's planning areas.

Pursuant to Section 30512 of the Coastal Act, the Commission must act on amendments containing both land use and implementation plan components within 90 days of filing. Based on the above-cited time limits, the proposed LCP amendment must be scheduled for review by the Commission at the October 15-17, 2008 meeting. However, Section 30517 of the Coastal Act and Section 13535(c) of the California Code of Regulations state that the Commission may extend for good cause any applicable time limits for a period not to exceed one year.

Commission staff is requesting the time extension due to insufficient staff time to adequately review the amendment and prepare a recommendation. Staff recommends the Commission extend the 90-day time limit for one year but will be working with the City to analyze the amendment and set it for hearing at an earlier date.

MOTION:

I move that the Commission extend the 90-day time limit to act on the City of Carlsbad LCP Amendment No. 1-08 for one year.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends a <u>YES</u> vote. An affirmative vote of the majority of the Commissioners present is needed to pass the motion.